

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,754		04/09/2004	John Edward O'Neill	JEO-00101	1868
28960	7590	07/07/2005	EXAMINER		
HAVERST	OCK &	OWENS LLP	MENDIRATTA, VISHU K		
162 NORTH	WOLFE	ROAD			
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			3711	-

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\Diamond	0
12/1	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/821,754	O'NEILL, JOHN EDWARD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Vishu K. Mendiratta	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	. •						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/821,754 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Carmichael (612029).

Carmichael teaches a game comprising 8x8 grid playing surface of square arrays, die (12,14,16), first playing pieces (king, queen etc.), and second playing pieces (pawns).

Applicant may note that rules/methods for playing such as how movement or position of one playing piece in the outer array changes the movement of playing pieces in the inner array do not further add any limitation to the apparatus in the claims.

For the purpose of arguments there are several possible moves in a game of chess where positioning/movement of one player's queen, rook, bishop is a cause of concern for opponent's playing pieces.

It is common in most strategic games to provide movement capabilities to playing pieces and placing them in strategic positions likely involves changing positions of opponent pieces for chances of being captured. In such situations opponent pieces are moved away from the line of attack for safety. In order to make the game entertaining and exciting, it would have been obvious to use such movement capabilities of playing pieces. One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested having such movement rules for playing pieces.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 4/20/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Mode of operation is a rule and does not further limit a claimed apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,754 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K. Mendiratta whose telephone number is (571) 272-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,754

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711 Page 4

VKM July 6, 2005